

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U-338-E) for Authorization: (1) to Replace San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit Nos. 2 & 3 (SONGS 2 & 3) Steam Generators; (2) Establish Ratemaking for Cost Recovery; and (3) Address Other Related Steam Generator Replacement Issues.

Application 04-02-026  
(Filed February 27, 2004)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING  
ADDRESSING MOTIONS TO REVISE ISSUES AND SCHEDULE**

On November 17, 2004, San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) filed a motion to revise the issues in this proceeding to include issues related to its ownership share of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3 (SONGS), and to revise the schedule accordingly. Also on that date, The Utility Reform Network (TURN) filed a motion to change the service dates for prefiled testimony.

Regarding SDG&E's motion, I made it known in the prehearing conferences that I wanted Southern California Edison Company (SCE) to present its case covering the possible range of SDG&E ownership shares from 20% to zero. This would allow consideration of the effect of all possible ownership shares on the cost-effectiveness of the steam generator replacement project (SGRP). SDG&E stated in its motion that it and SCE anticipate that issues related to SDG&E's ownership share will be resolved in this proceeding. It did not state what those issues are. The only issue regarding SDG&E's ownership share identified in this proceeding is the effect on the cost-effectiveness of the SGRP. Approval of any transfer of SDG&E's ownership share will be the subject of a

separate application that has not yet been filed, and is beyond the scope of this proceeding.

As to TURN's request for a schedule change, I will grant a limited extension in the service dates for the prefiled testimony.

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that:

1. The motion of San Diego Gas and Electric Company to revise the issues and schedule is denied.
2. The motion of The Utility Reform Network to revise the schedule is granted to the extent indicated below, and is otherwise denied.
3. Parties' testimony is due December 13, 2004.
4. Parties' rebuttal testimony is due January 17, 2005.

Dated November 23, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

---

Jeffrey P. O'Donnell  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties to which an electronic mail address has been provided, this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Addressing Motions to Revise Issues and Schedule on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated November 23, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

---

Erlinda A. Pulmano

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.